

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,343	04/02/2004	Antonio R. Alfaro	. 23648-71015	3303	
27730	7590 11/29/2006		EXAM	INER	
	GOLDSCHMIDT, JR. I	NGUYEN, KIEN T			
DILWORTH PAXON LLP 3200 MELLON BANK CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1735 MARK	1735 MARKET STREET				
PHILADELI	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			DATÉ MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/817,343	ALFARO, ANTONIO R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kien T. Nguyen	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	June 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-29 is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 4, 10, 11 is/are objected to.	d/or alastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· - · · ·	•			
	Examiner. Note the attached C	Thice Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	anta hawa haan na siisad				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		disation No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	• •	 			
application from the International Bure		ocived in the National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	·				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) b) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-18) in the reply filed on 07/10/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capelli U.S. Patent 3,846,199 in view of Rinehart U.S. Patent 5,735,895.

Capelli disclosed an artificial eye comprising at least four preformed components (16, 18, 20, 22) fused together; the components comprising a base component (12), a pupil component, an iris component, and a cornea component (col. 4, lines 6-8); the components are colored (col. 3, lines 30-34); the base (12) having an outer surface, an inner surface (see Fig. 1). It is noted that Capelli failed to teach the components are made of glass as set forth therein. However, an artificial glass eye is very well known in the art as evidenced by Rinehart (see the specification). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the components of Capelli with the glass material as taught by Rinehart for the purpose of providing a more realistic looking artificial eye.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 8, 9, 15-18, it is noted that the combination of Capelli and Rinehart failed to teach various decorative features as set forth therein. However, such features are merely for decorative purpose and it would have been a matter of design choice to incorporate any desired decorative material and/or color to enhance the aesthetic appearance of the artificial glass eye.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 10, 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57,1-272-1000.

Kien T. Nguyeh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

Ktn